Survey of Ad Hoc Network Routing Protocols

Team Adhocracy Presentation 3 – April 23, 2007

Jason Winnebeck Benjamin Willis Travis Thomas

Coming Up

- Summary
- Simulation Design
- Simulation Tests
- Simulation Expected Results
- Question/Comments
- References

Project Summary

- Compare three Adhoc routing algorithms
 - DSR
 - Champ
 - GPSR
- Attempt to determine benefits and weaknesses of each algorithm
- Use metrics to determine which one works the best

Simulation Core Design



- Discrete Event Simulation
- Builder gets parameters and creates objects
- Runner collects and compiles results

Data Design



- Separation of link layer traffic and network layer traffic
- HardwareFrame fixed, ProtocolFrame defined by algorithm
- FrameQueue to send frames in sequence

Node Design



- Node handles link layer traffic
- World holds nodes and simulates physical transmission
- LocationManager implementations allow movement over time

Routing Algorithms Design

«interface» RoutingAlgorithm

+arrived(in node : Node, in frame : HardwareFrame) +terminated(in node : Node, in frame : HardwareFrame) +overheard(in node : Node, in frame : HardwareFrame) +newPacket(in packet : Packet)

- Arrived: Packet arrives at intermediate hop
- Terminated: Packet arrives at final destination
- Overheard: Packet not meant for node arrives
- newPacket: Network layer introduces packet

Simulation Tests

- Baseline Tests, non Adhoc related 1.Simple communication
 2.Larger set simple communication
 3.Large set complex communication
- No movement





Simulation Tests

• Ad hoc Testing

 Random talking random movement small scale
Random talking random movement large scale
Simple communication large network limited movement



Traffic Generator

- Will take data to create simulation.
- Tell Source nodes to send a packet and where to send it.
- Will Be able to recreate traffic for each algorithm.

- Number of Packets forwarded+sent
 - Crude estimate of power used for each node
- Prediction
 - Champ uses multi-paths to improve this should be better then DSR
 - Greedy Perimeter Stateless Routing may do well since it should only be sending messages in known direction of Destination
 - Beaconing may make it do poorly however.

- Number of Packets Sent that actually reached Destination.
- Predictions
 - Stationary base test predicted is 100% for all.
 - The more mobile nodes get this is predicted to get worse for all.
 - Since Champ tries to do Multi-path error correction packets should get their sooner then DSR.
 - GPSR could possibly have an advantage since it uses actual locations for routing.

- Overhead Messages
 - Stable network with few message
 - DSR and Champ should have very few
 - GPSR will have beacons still
 - Lots of movement
 - DSR may require a lot of messages to keep asking for a new route to destination
 - Champ maybe a few messages less because of multipathing.
 - GPSR shouldn't have a big increase from stationary network since it should be able to "follow" nodes.

- Source Node through field
 - GPSR should handle this well.
 - Champ may work better then DSR but they may have similar behaviors.



Comments/Questions



References

- Johnson, David B., Maltz, David A., Broch, Josh. 2001. DSR: The Dynamic Source Routing Protocol for Multi-Hop Wireless Ad Hoc Networks. in Ad Hoc Networking, edited by Charles E. Perkins, Chapter 5, pp. 139-172, Addison-Wesley, 2001. Obtained from http://www.monarch.cs.rice.edu/monarch-papers/dsr-chapter00.ps
- ALVIN C. VALERA, WINSTON K.G. SEAH AND S.V. RAO, CHAMP: A Highly Resilient and Energy-Efficient Routing Protocol for Mobile Ad hoc Networks. In Proceedings of the 5th IEEE Conference on Mobile and Wireless Communications Networks (MWCN 2002), Stockholm, Sept 9-11, 2002.
- Karp, B. and Kung, H. T. 2000. GPSR: greedy perimeter stateless routing for wireless networks. In Proceedings of the 6th Annual international Conference on Mobile Computing and Networking (Boston, Massachusetts, United States, August 06 - 11, 2000). MobiCom '00. ACM Press, New York, NY, 243-254. DOI= http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/345910.345953